ICD Coding

Zhenghui Wang

Apex Data & Knowledge Management Lab Shanghai Jiao Tong University





ICD

- ICD: The International Statistical **C**lassification of **D**iseases and Related Health Problems
- Hierarchical architecture





Data

• MIMIC III

HADMID	189797			
Original Texts of Discharge Summary				
	DISCHARGE DIAGNOSIS:			
	1. Prematurity at 35 4/7 weeks gestation			
	2. Twin number two of twin gestation			
	3. Respiratory distress secondary to transient tachypnea of			
	the newborn			
	4. Suspicion for sepsis ruled out			
Extracted Diagnosis Descriptions	1. Prematurity at 35 4/7 weeks gestation			
	2. Twin number two of twin gestation			
	3. Respiratory distress secondary to transient tachypnea of the newborn			
	4. Suspicion for sepsis ruled out			
Assigned ICD Diagnostic Codes	'V3100', '76518', '7756', '7706', 'V290', 'V053'			

3000







ICD-10 Coding Contest



"The code entry method was very easy and user-friendly." "This is really good for students. And to further their education."



Actual Contestant Feedback



[https://www.centrallearning.com/codingcontest/]

Solutions

• String to string comparison



Multi-label text classification





Str2Str comparison method

- Longest common subsequence
- Semantic similarity with HowNet



Longest common subsequence

• New LCS

$$C[i][j] = \begin{cases} 0 & (i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0) \\ c[i - 1][j - 1] + 1 & (i, j > 0, sim[i - 1][j - 1] > \varepsilon) \\ max\{c[i - 1][j], c[i][j - 1]\} & (i, j > 0 \text{ } a_i \neq b_j) \text{ sim[i - 1][j - 1]} \in \varepsilon \end{cases}$$
(2)

• New similarity

$$sim(A,B) = \frac{LCSL}{max\{L(A),L(B)\}}$$

$$sim(A, B) = \frac{2 * LCSL}{L(A) + L(B)} \qquad Sim(A, B) = \frac{(LCSL + 1) * LCSL}{L(A) * LCSL + L(B)} L(A) \le L(B)$$

[Chen Y Z, Lu H J, Li L J. Automatic ICD-10 coding algorithm using an improved longest common subsequence based on semantic similarity[J]. PloS one, 2017, 12(3): e0173410.]



Semantic similarity with HowNet

• Sentence similarity:

$$sim(T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_{w \in S(T_1, T_2, \theta)} (\max Sim(w, T_2) \cdot idf(w))}{\sum_{w \in \{T_1\}} idf(w)} + \frac{\sum_{w \in (T_2, T_1, \theta)} (\max Sim(w, T_1) \cdot idf(w))}{\sum_{w \in \{T_2\}} idf(w)} \right),$$

- Word similarity:
 - Both in HowNet: $sim(s_1, s_2) = \frac{\alpha}{distance(s_1, s_2) + \alpha}$

• Otherwise:
$$sim(w_1, w_2) = \frac{len(LCS(w_1, w_2))}{len(w_1) + len(w_2) - len(LCS(w_1, w_2))}$$

[Ning W, Yu M, Zhang R. A hierarchical method to automatically encode Chinese diagnoses through semantic similarity estimation[J]. BMC medical informatics and decision making, 2016, 16(1): 30.]



Semantic similarity with HowNet

• Predict in a hierarchical way



[Ning W, Yu M, Zhang R. A hierarchical method to automatically encode Chinese diagnoses through semantic similarity estimation[J]. BMC medical informatics and decision making, 2016, 16(1): 30.]



Multi-label text classification

- Multi-label classification
 - Algorithms
 - Evaluation metrics
- Multi-label text classification
 - Binary Relevance
 - Label correlation
 - Label specific text representation
 - Label embedding
 - Others



Multi-label classification

Multi-Label Problem:		Output vector:		
Instance	Classes	A	в	С
1	А, В	1	1	0
2	А	1	0	0
3	А, В	1	1	0
4	С	0	0	1



Multi-label classification algorithms



[Zhang M L, Zhou Z H. A review on multi-label learning algorithms[J]. IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering, 2014, 26(8): 1819-1837.]



Evaluation Metrics



[Zhang M L, Zhou Z H. A review on multi-label learning algorithms[J]. IEEE transactions on knowledge and data engineering, 2014, 26(8): 1819-1837.]



Evaluation Metrics for ICD Coding

• Over coding & under coding problems

Figure 1 Quantities used in novel evaluation metrics for evaluation of automated ICD9 coding for different cases (left: prediction path diverges from the gold-standard path; middle: prediction is on the correct path but is too granular; and right: prediction is on the correct path, but is not granular enough).



Normalized divergent path to gold standard ((g-c)/g)

Normalized divergent path to predicted ((p-c)/p)

[Perotte A, Pivovarov R, Natarajan K, et al. Diagnosis code assignment: models and evaluation metrics[J]. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2013, 21(2): 231-237.]



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others





• Binary Cross-entropy objective

$$\min_{\Theta} \quad -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left[y_{il} \log(\sigma(f_{il})) + (1 - y_{il}) \log(1 - \sigma(f_{il})) \right]$$

[Liu J, Chang W C, Wu Y, et al. Deep Learning for Extreme Multi-label Text Classification[C]//SIGIR, 2017] [Dembczynski K, Kotlowski W, Hüllermeier E. Consistent multilabel ranking through univariate losses[C] // ICML, 2012.]



AAAI 2018



Figure 2: Hierarchical Attention Network.

[Baumel T, Nassour-Kassis J, Elhadad M, et al. Multi-Label Classification of Patient Notes a Case Study on ICD Code Assignment[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.09587, 2017.]



CNN+D2V



[Li M, Fei Z, Zeng M, et al. Automated ICD-9 Coding via A Deep Learning Approach[J]. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 2018.]



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others



Modeling Label co-occurrence

$$P(C_i | C_j) = \frac{\exp(w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \cdot F_k(C_i, C_j))}{1 + \exp(w_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \cdot F_k(C_i, C_j))}$$

where $exp(\cdot)$ is the natural exponent, $F_k(C_i, C_j)$ are a set of *K* feature functions tracking various aspects of the codes C_i and C_j , as explained below, and w_k are the model weights estimated during the training phase.

This model is intended to capture solely the trends of code cooccurrence, leaving prediction of individual codes from the document to the primary auto-coder. Therefore, it does not use features that

[Subotin M, Davis A R. A method for modeling co-occurrence propensity of clinical codes with application to ICD-10-PCS auto-coding[J]. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2016, 23(5): 866-871.]



Modeling Label co-occurrence

1. Input:

- 2. $D_1 \dots D_M$: a set of *M* documents with manually assigned codes
- 3. $MAN(D_1) \dots MAN(D_M)$: sets of manually assigned codes
- 4. $GEN(D_1) \dots GEN(D_M)$: top-scoring outputs of primary auto-coder

```
5. For each D_i in D_1 \dots D_M:
```

```
6. For each C_j^{man} in MAN(D_i):
```

```
7. For each C_k^{pred} in GEN(D_i) \cup MAN(D_i):
```

```
8. Extract features for estimate P(C_k^{pred} | C_i^{man})
```

```
9. If C_k^{pred} \in MAN(D_i):
```

```
Generate positive training instance
```

11. else:

10.

12.

```
Generate negative training instance
```

[Subotin M, Davis A R. A method for modeling co-occurrence propensity of clinical codes with application to ICD-10-PCS auto-coding[J]. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2016, 23(5): 866-871.]



Modeling Label co-occurrence

1. Input:

2. $GEN(D_1) \dots GEN(D_M)$: top-scoring outputs of primary auto-coder

3. Data structures:

- 4. *CURRENT*: map of codes to current scores
- 5. FINAL: map of codes to final scores
- 6. *QUEUE*: priority queue of scored codes

7. For each D_i in $D_1 \dots D_M$:

- 8. Initialize *CURRENT* with $GEN(D_i)$ using primary auto-coder scores
- 9. **Initialize** *QUEUE* with $GEN(D_i)$ using primary auto-coder scores
- 10. Initialize FINAL to be empty
- 11. **For** *i* **from** 1 **to** depth of exploration *d*:
- 12. **Pop** C^{top} from QUEUE

13.
$$FINAL(C^{top}) \leftarrow CURRENT(C^{top})$$

- 14. **For each** C_k **in** *QUEUE*:
- 15. $CURRENT(C_k) \leftarrow CURRENT(C_k) \times P(C_k | C^{top})$
- 16. **Update** *QUEUE* **with** *CURRENT*
- 17. For each C_k in *QUEUE*:
- 18. $FINAL(C_k) \leftarrow CURRENT(C_k)$
- 19. Output FINAL

[Subotin M, Davis A R. A method for modeling co-occurrence propensity of clinical codes with application to ICD-10-PCS auto-coding[J]. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 2016, 23(5): 866-871.]



Exploiting Associations between Class Labels

- Association rule extraction
 - E.g., (laptop → wireless mouse)
 [support: 20%, confidence: 80%]
- Types
 - Positive relationship: $y_1 y_2 \rightarrow y_5$
 - Negative relationship: $\sim y_6 \rightarrow \sim y_3$
 - Hybrid relationship: $y_3 \rightarrow \sim y_6$ or $y_3 \sim y_2 \rightarrow y_1$
- Algorithm
 - Filter the extracted rules and keep the high quality rules
 - Apply the final rules in the prediction phase in order to correct the errors (where possible) to improve the classification results.

[Mirzamomen Z, Ghafooripour K. Exploiting Associations between Class Labels in Multi-label Classification[J]. Journal of AI and Data Mining, 2018.]



Better Weight Initialization



[Kurata G, Xiang B, Zhou B. Improved neural network-based multi-label classification with better initialization leveraging label co-occurrence[C]//Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies. 2016: 521-526.]



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others



Labels Information Based Feature Mapping



[Wang T, Luo T, Li J, et al. Reasearch on feature mapping based on labels information in multi-label text classification[C]//Electronics Information and Emergency Communication (ICEIEC), 2017 7th IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2017: 452-456.]



label specific features



[Huang J, Li G, Huang Q, et al. Learning label specific features for multi-label classification[C]//Data mining (ICDM), 2015 IEEE international conference on. IEEE, 2015: 181-190.]



label-specific features and local pairwise label correlation



[Weng W, Lin Y, Wu S, et al. Multi-label learning based on label-specific features and local pairwise label correlation[J]. Neurocomputing, 2018, 273: 385-394.]



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others



SLEEC



[Bhatia K, Jain H, Kar P, et al. Sparse local embeddings for extreme multi-label classification[C]//Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2015: 730-738.]



Label Embedding with Graph



[Zhang W, Wang L, Yan J, et al. Deep Extreme Multi-label Learning[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.03718, 2017.]



Multi-label text classification

- Binary Relevance
- Label correlation
- Label specific text representation
- Label embedding
- Others
 - Classifier Chain
 - Code embedding



CNN-RNN Model

CNN layer to extract the text feature vector



[Chen G, Ye D, Xing Z, et al. Ensemble application of convolutional and recurrent neural networks for multi-label text categorization[C]//Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2017 International Joint Conference on. IEEE, 2017: 2377-2383.]



RNN Model



[Nam J, Mencía E L, Kim H J, et al. Maximizing Subset Accuracy with Recurrent Neural Networks in Multi-label Classification[C]//Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2017: 5419-5429.]



label Decomposition

• Fix 'There are some combination class labels which are associated with records less frequently than others in training datasets.'



[Li R, Zhao H, Lin Y, et al. Multi-label classification for intelligent health risk prediction[C]//Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM), 2016 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2016: 986-993.]



Hierarchical Embedding

$$\min_{U,V} J(U,V) = \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{L}} \sum_{i \in S} h(y_{il}(x_i U V_l^T)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|U\|_F^2 + \|V\|_F^2)$$

Algorithm 1: MLC-HMF (X, Y, k, T, h).

input : Data Matrix: \mathcal{X} , Label Matrix: \mathcal{Y} , Size of Reduced Dimension Space: k, Threshold: \mathcal{T} , Depth of the Hierarchy: h

output: Tree with Mapping *U* and Label Feature Matrix *V* at Each Node

```
Divide \mathcal{X} into \mathcal{X}^1 and \mathcal{X}^2 using kmeans clustering
```

for $i \in \{1,2\}$ do

if $|\hat{X}^i|$ *is small or depth is exceed h* **then** Let its corresponding node as leaf node **return end** Learn the mapping *U* and label feature matrix *V* for \hat{X}^i using Eq. (4). Let $\hat{X} \subseteq \hat{X}^i$ is the set of instances whose hamming loss is less than the threshold \mathcal{T} and $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is their corresponding label matrix Maintain *U*, *V* and \hat{X} at the current node MLC-HMF $(\hat{X}^i \setminus \hat{X}, \hat{Y}^i \setminus \hat{Y}, k, \mathcal{T}, h)$

end

[Kumar V, Pujari A K, Padmanabhan V, et al. Multi-label classification using hierarchical embedding[J]. Expert Systems with Applications, 2018, 91: 263-269.]



Attention



Figure 2. Model Architecture.

[Shi H, Xie P, Hu Z, et al. Towards Automated ICD Coding Using Deep Learning[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.04075, 2017.]



Attention



 $a_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^d u_{i,k} h_{j,k}$

 $p_i = \text{sigmoid}(\max_{i=1,2,\dots,m}(a_{i,j}))$



[Shi H, Xie P, Hu Z, et al. Towards Automated ICD Coding Using Deep Learning[J]. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.04075, 2017.]



Problems

- Performance
- Ontology (MeSH, SNOMED)
- Global and Local Label Correlation
- Cross & Multi Specialty



Thanks!

